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ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed to determine the effect of using a computer-assisted glycemic monitoring protocol on the workload of intensive care nurses.

Material and Methods: This quasi-experimental study was conducted with intensive care unit nurses (n=19). The time spent by the nurses during glycemia follow-up was recorded by two observer nurses using stopwatches. Glycemic monitoring was performed using a computerized and written protocol. After the application part of the research, the opinions of the nurses about the protocols were evaluated with a questionnaire form.

Results: While the mean time spent on glycemia follow-up using the computerized protocol was 30.5 ± 8.18 seconds, the mean time spent using the written protocol was 42.7 ± 10.04 seconds (p<0.001). 78.9% of nurses stated that written protocol was more complicated, 78.9% computerized protocol more suitable for intensive care unit, and 78.9% satisfied with using the computerized protocol.

Conclusion: The use of the computerized protocol was shown to be effective in reducing the workload of intensive care nurses. The computerized protocol has increased nurse satisfaction in caring for patients with hyperglycemia who need intravenous insulin management.
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INTRODUCTION

Hyperglycemia (blood glucose level > 180 mg/dL) is common in critically ill patients in intensive care units. Hyperglycemia in critically ill patients leads to increased mortality and morbidity. Hypoglycemia, a complication arising from hyperglycemia treatment, can cause fatal outcomes for patients. Glucose follow-up protocols are used to protect intensive care patients from hyperglycemia, a risk factor associated with negative outcomes caused by surgical and medical conditions. Studies in the literature show that glucose follow-up using these protocols reduces mortality and morbidity.

It is extremely important that intensive care nurses, who are responsible for the care and treatment of critical patients, closely monitor any changes that may occur in the patients so that they can intervene immediately. Intensive care nurses who are involved in the prevention of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia, which require close monitoring and intervention in patients, need a well-developed “glucose monitoring system” for glucose control. The ideal glucose follow-up protocol for glucose control in intensive care patients should prevent the development of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia and provide successful glucose control in a short time. In the literature, many glucose follow-up protocols have been prepared and published within the framework of evidence-based approaches.

Nowadays, within the framework of the possibilities offered by developments in the IT sector, written protocols can be turned into computer-guided software to reduce employee workload and prevent medical errors that may arise owing to humans. Many nursing care services are carried out by the nurses who provide care for critical illnesses in intensive care units and owing to their high workload the patient safety is at risk. Computer-guided protocols developed for exactly this reason should enable intensive care unit (ICU) nurses to make quick clinical decisions accurately and successfully, without increasing their workload.

In a study by Newton et al., it was found that glucose follow-up using an insulin infusion protocol developed as a computer program used by nurses helped to achieve the target glucose level in a shorter time than the written protocol. Olinghouse showed that calculation of the patient’s insulin dose took 30 seconds using the computer-guided protocol and 2 minutes with the written protocol. Studies have shown that the computer-guided protocol led to less hypoglycemia than the written protocol, which is why nurses found it safer, easier to use, and more effective compared with the written protocol. Canbolat et al. found that intensive care nurses think that computer-guided glucose follow-up protocols are more effective, safer, and easier than the routine practice. They also stated that the use of a computerized protocol is more appropriate for intensive care settings.

Studies evaluating the effect of computerized protocols on workload are limited worldwide and no studies on this topic have been carried out in Turkey. We believe that in order to increase the applicability of a protocol, not only its effectiveness and reliability but also its effect on staff workload must be analyzed. For this reason, this study was planned to determine the effect of a computer-guided glucose management protocol we developed in 2016 for efficacy and reliability for patients on the workload of intensive care nurses.

Aim

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of using a computer-assisted glycemia follow-up protocol on the nursing workload in intensive care unit.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Study Design

The study was conducted as a semi-experimental study between June–July 2017 in the 11-bed reanimation unit of the Anesthesiology and Reanimation clinic of a training and research hospital.

Study Sample

The study sample was composed of nurses working in the reanimation unit between June–July 2017, who volunteered to participate in the study. A total of 21 nurses work in the unit. Two nurses did not volunteer to participate in the study. The study sample consisted of 19 nurses who met the inclusion criteria and agreed to participate in the study. Study inclusion criteria: working in the reanimation unit, volunteered to participate in the study, knows how to use the computer-assisted glycemia follow-up protocol and knows how to use the written glucose follow-up protocol. Study exclusion criteria: did not volunteer to participate in the study.

Data Collection Tools

The study data were collected using an information form, the written glucose follow-up protocol, and the computer-assisted glycemia follow-up protocol.

Information Form

The information form developed by the researchers as a result of the literature review consists of two parts. The first part is used to collect information on the sociodemographic and occupational characteristics of the nurses, and the second part collects information on the time nurses spend measuring for the glucose follow-up.

Written Glycemia Follow-up Protocol and Computer-Assisted Glycemia Follow-up Protocol

Based on a literature review, the glycemia follow-up protocol was created by intensive care doctors and nurses. This protocol was presented for review to five experts, each with experience in their own fields (chest diseases and intensive care specialist, anesthesia and intensive care specialist, internal medicine specialist nurse, endocrinology and metabolic diseases specialist). After the recommended corrections were carried out, the protocol was created in 2016 and, in the same year, the “Computer-Assisted Glycemia Follow-Up Protocol” was developed by computer programmers based on the written “Glycemia Follow-up Protocol”.

In the protocol, with the patient’s previous glycemia measurement value by comparing the last measured glycemia measurement value, appropriate follow-up and treatment are determined. In the protocol, the target
glycemia range was determined between 120-180 mg/dl. Also in the protocol, glycemia measurements > 300 mg/dl and <120mg/dl, it is stated that the doctor should be informed with the treatment to be applied in the glycemia measurement values.

Results from a study using the computer protocol in 2016 showed that the patients were successfully managed in the target range for glucose follow-up, and the incidences of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia were found to be very low. Some parts of the protocol that were implemented in the computer program are shown in Figure 1.

In both protocols, the treatment to be applied according to the patient’s glucose value is the same. There are no differences in treatment between the protocols because the written protocol is simply the paper form of the computerized protocol. Patients who undergo glucose measurements are those who require glucose measurements for treatment. None of the patients were subjected to any extra glucose measurements for the study.

First, for each nurse (n=19), the time spent during the glycemia follow-up with the written protocol was recorded by two observing nurses using a stopwatch. The stopwatch was started as soon as the nurse had cleaned the finger of the patient with antiseptic solution and was stopped when the nurse decided on a treatment according to the written protocol based on the glucose level measured by the glucometer. The time that the nurse took to complete the process was recorded.

Second, for each nurse (n=19), the time spent during the glycemia follow-up with the computerized protocol was recorded by two observing nurses using a stopwatch. The stopwatch was started as soon as the nurse had cleaned the finger of the patient with antiseptic solution and was stopped when the nurse decided on a treatment according to the computerized protocol based on the glucose level measured by the glucometer. The time that the nurse took to complete the process was recorded.

Also, nurses’s inaccurate measurement in glycemic control using computerized and written protocol was recorded. At the end of the study, a questionnaire was given to the participating nurses by the researchers in order to gather their opinions on the use of computerized and written protocols.

**Data Analysis**

Data on the effect of the computerized glucose management protocol on nursing workload were evaluated using the SPSS (Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences), Version 22. The statistical significance level was accepted as p < 0.05. Descriptive statistics were given as mean, standard deviation, frequency and percentage. In the comparison of continuous variables, t test was used in case of normal distribution compliance.

**Ethical Consideration and Ethical Approval**

Permission was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of a training and research hospital (Decision Number: 2012-KAEK-15/1452) and the reanimation unit before the study. Written consent was obtained from the intensive care nurses that confirmed their willingness to participate in the study.

**Limitations**

Development process of the computerized and written protocol were applied in these intensive care unit. For this reason, the results of the study are limited to the unit and sample group in which the study was carried out. Because, only these intensive care nurses knew how to use the protocols.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the nurses that participated in the study was 24.6± 2.1, all of them were female, 10% were married,
17% had a bachelor’s degree, and 17% had 1–5 years of work experience in the intensive care unit.

In our study, two observers were used to record the time that the nurses spent on glucose follow-up. The concordance correlation coefficient (Lin) was determined in order to decide which observer’s results would be used in the analyses. In the evaluation of the time spent on the follow-up of glucose levels using the computer-guided protocol, the agreement between observers 1 and 2 was 99.5% while for the time spent using the written protocol there was 99.6% coherence between observers 1 and 2. In this case, the results from observer 1 were used for all analyses as the observations for both observers 1 or 2 could be used for both protocols (Table 1).

Table 1. Interobserver Compatibility Analysis (Correlation Coefficient)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observers</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Covariance</th>
<th>CCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurements with computerized protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>39.49</td>
<td>66.97</td>
<td>68.47</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>70.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurements with written protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 1</td>
<td>42.78</td>
<td>100.94</td>
<td>100.42</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer 2</td>
<td>42.70</td>
<td>100.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, it was found that the intensive care nurses who performed glucose follow-up using the computerized protocol spent an average of 30.5 ± 8.18 seconds on the procedure compared to an average of 42.7 ± 10.04 seconds using the written protocol. The difference between the groups was statistically significant (p < 0.001) (Table 2). The time spent using the written protocol for glucose follow-up was approximately 12 seconds longer, extending the duration by a ratio of 3 to 1 (30%).

Table 2. Comparison of the Average Time Spent by Nurse in the Measurement of Glycemia by Computerized and Written Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement with CP* (n=19) mean±SD</th>
<th>Measurement with WP* (n=19) mean±SD</th>
<th>test p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time (second) spent by nurses for measuring glycaemia</td>
<td>30.5±8.18</td>
<td>42.7±10.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CP: Computerized protocol, WP: Written protocol. ** dependent group t test

Of the 19 measurements carried out with the written protocol, two were inaccurate, while none of the measurements using the computer-guided protocol were incorrect. Although the error rate for the written protocol was found to be higher than that of the computer protocol (Table 3).

Table 3. Inaccurate Measurement Distribution in Glycemic Control Using Computerized and Written Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incorrect measurement</th>
<th>Measurement with CP* (n=19)</th>
<th>Measurement with WP* (n=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CP: Computerized protocol, WP: Written protocol.

Of the nurses, 78.9% stated that the written protocol was more complicated than the computer protocol. Of the nurses, 78.9% stated that the computerized protocol is the appropriate protocol for use in intensive care settings and 78.9% were satisfied with using the computerized protocol (Figure 2). Upon asking the intensive care nurses about their opinions on the computerized and written protocol, 84.2% stated that the use of the computer protocol was easy and 63.2% stated that the use of the written protocol was easy.

Figure 2. Distribution of Intensive Care Nurses’ Opinions About Computerized Protocol and Written Protocol (n=19)

DISCUSSION

One of the most important applications of safe medical practices in critical patient care in intensive care units is glucose follow-up. For glucose control, which has an important impact on mortality and morbidity in critically ill patients, it is necessary to establish easy-to-implement protocols in order to monitor blood glucose levels to prevent hypoglycemia and achieve acceptable blood glucose levels. Nurses working in intensive care units have high workloads. For this reason, the applications and protocols to be used in patient care should not increase the workload of the health personnel.

Computer-based decision support systems based on protocols are one of the most up-to-date approaches in clinical decision making. These systems make scientifically proven applications feasible and enable nurses to make the correct decision. The computer-guided glucose management protocol that we developed is one such application. Various studies have evaluated the efficacy and safety of protocols and analyzed their effects on glycemic control. But, studies evaluating the effect of computerized protocols on workload are limited worldwide. In a study by Davidson et al., the computer-guided insulin infusion protocol was approved by the nurses, the calculation time was less in the computerized protocol than in the written, and glucose was maintained at normal levels without developing hypoglycemia. In a study by
Olinghouse, calculation of the patient’s insulin dose was found to take 30 seconds with the computer-guided protocol versus 2 minutes with the written protocol. In our study, the glucose follow-up using the written protocol took about 12 seconds longer than using the computer-guided protocol and the duration was prolonged by a ratio of 3 to 1 (30%).

The care of critical patients in intensive care is becoming increasingly complex as disease severity continues to increase. The number of patients requiring intensive care and increased clinical knowledge per bed also require increased evidence-based medical treatment and practices. Within the scope of safe medical applications, patient care is expected to include minimal medical errors and maximum quality.

It is important for patient safety that the protocols used for critical patient care and treatment contain minimal medical errors. When examining the written and computerized protocol applications for glucose control of patients in our study, two out of 19 measurements in the glucose follow-up using the written protocol were erroneous, whereas no incorrect measurements were observed using the computerized protocol. In a study by Olinghouse, evaluated the computer protocol for safety reasons and found that there were no calculation errors when using the computer protocol, while there was an error rate of 38% with the written protocol. When information technology is used appropriately and correctly, it can reduce the problems of human error and delays, especially in the field of health, as demonstrated by this study supports.

Protocols used in patient care and treatment in intensive care units are expected to help ensure that patient care and treatment are successful, effective, and safe. Resistance from health personnel can prevent the benefits of computer technology applications in the field of health, especially in medical care, from being realized. It is therefore important that the practicing staff believe that the patients or the operation will benefit from the practice and, moreover, it is very important that the prepared applications and interfaces have easy-to-implement steps that do not increase staff workload and are not cognitively complicated. In the literature, studies have been published in which the users evaluated similar applications to our protocol. In some of these studies, the nurses stated that the computerized protocols were more effective, safer, and easier to apply than the written protocols. In our study, the nurses stated that the computer protocol was easier to use than the written protocol, that they were more satisfied with the implementation, and that it is more suitable for intensive care, and that the written protocol is more complicated than the computerized protocol.

**CONCLUSION**

The use of a computer-guided glucose management protocol in the follow-up of intensive care patients’ glucose levels is more effective than the written protocol in terms of effective use of time in intensive care practice. Nurses found the computerized protocol easy to use, they were satisfied with the use, and believe that is a suitable protocol for intensive care. Thus, it will be beneficial to use a computerized glucose management protocol for glucose control of ICU patients.
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